

The German Experiences with a self-organised Water Sector

- Key Factors for an Alternative to Regulation

Wolf Merkel, Nicole Mueller IWW Water Centre, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany

Valencia, 29th April 2014





Agenda

The German Water Sector...

- **1)** General Characteristics
- 2) Legal Framework
- **3)** Dimension and Structure
- 4) Competition
- 5) The Role of Benchmarking
- 6) Economic Challenges
- 7) Lessons learnt





Resources and Climate

General Characteristics

- 2 Legal Framework
- **3** Dimension & Structure
- **4** Competition
- **5** Role of Benchmarking
- 6 Economic Challenges

Lessons learnt

- Germany is fortunate to have plenty of water resources (many natural lakes, rivers and well-fed groundwater systems, artificial lakes and reservoirs)
- Only 2.7 % of the available water resources are used for public water supply \rightarrow indicates extensive water reserves
- Beside of precipitation during all seasons, the German climate is characterized by moderate temperatures and frequent weather change
- The German Weather Service generally predicts sufficient future rainfall, whilst temperature forecasts based on different climate scenarios consistently indicate increasing temperatures
- The impacts of climate change are quite moderate, but Germany will have to face warmer, drier summers and milder, wetter winters

Sources: Statistisches Bundesamt (2011): 20-25; Umweltbundesamt (2010): 14-18), Deutscher Wetterdienst (2014a) and (2014b), DVGW (2009)



Demographical Change

General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

- **3** Dimension & Structure
- **4** Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

- The impacts of demographical change represent a major challenge
- Whilst the population was around 82 million in 2008, future forecasts show an estimated decrease of around 4.6 million people until 2030
- Especially for sectors with rigid assets and capacities like the water industry, strongly declining consumer numbers are alarming
 - Aging population becomes more and more challenging for the wastewater treatment because of drug residues in the wastewater



C Lessons learnt

Sources: Statistische Ämter des Bundes und der Länder (2011): 21 , BDEW et al. (2011): 41



Agenda

The German Water Sector...

- **1)** General Characteristics
- 2) Legal Framework
- **3)** Dimension and Structure
- 4) Competition
- 5) The Role of Benchmarking
- 6) Economic Challenges
- 7) Lessons learnt





Relevant Legislation - Overview

General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

7 Lessons learnt

Primary Source of Law for Water Supply & Wastewater Removal

Water Resources Act (Wasserhaushaltsgesetz - WHG)

Hygienic Requirements

Drinking Water Regulations (Trinkwasserverordnung)

Wastewater Regulations (Abwasserverordnung)

Structural Requirements

§ 28 of the Basic Law (Grundgesetz)

State Water Laws (Landeswassergesetze)







Water Resources Act - Wastewater





- 2 Legal Framework
- **3** Dimension & Structure
- **4** Competition

- 5 Role of Benchmarking
- 6 Economic Challenges

Lessons learnt

Water Resources Act (Wasserhaushaltsgesetz)

- Requirements concerning the management of all water resources: surface water, ground water and marine water as well as flood protection, water body development, water supervision and fines
- Sewage shall be removed reasonable, so that public welfare is not compromised
- Liable entities are allowed to transfer the wastewater obligations to third parties
- Anyone who operates a sewage system is required to maintain its state, its ability to function and its conservation
- Service provider has to monitor its operation and the type and quantity of sewage content himself
- Obligation to record and store relevant information and upon request to provide them to the competent authority



Water Resources Act – Drinking Water



General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

7 Lessons learnt

Water Resources Act (Wasserhaushaltsgesetz)

- Since 2009, **water supply** is *officially* a service of general interest (Daseinsvorsorge), which emphasizes its great importance and essentiality
- Water suppliers are forced by the requirements of the WHG to manage the resource water carefully and to inform the end-consumer on water saving opportunities.
- Water demand shall be covered primarily by local, close water resources, if the effort is reasonable and acceptable
- Thereby no region shall be affected disproportionately to serve an areawide water conservation

Source: WHG (2009): § 50, Lotze/Reinhardt (2009): 3277



§ 28 of the Basic Law





2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension 8 Structure

§ 28 of the Basic Law (Grundgesetz)

Municipalities have the right to regulate all affairs of the local community on their own responsibility, however, they have to take account of the current legislation

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

C Lessons learnt

- The right of self-governing the water services as part of the municipal public duty does not mean that it has to be fulfilled directly by the municipalities.
- Unless state law provisions do not prevent this possibility, the municipalities are allowed to transfer tasks to third, private entities or make use of cross-municipality solutions

Source:GG (1949): §28, Ewers et al. (2001): 17)



Status of Self–Organisation

General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension 8 Structure

4 Competition

"The legal framework defines basic requirements for the quality, safety, sustainability, and economic efficiency of water services, but it is the water sector itself which fills the legal framework with life through the definition of technical rules and standards"

(Petry/Castell-Exner (2012))

5 Role of Benchmarking



A general economic regulatory authority does not exist in the German water industry, nonetheless, *ex post* water price regulation is applied in case of the suspicion that the monopoly has been exploited

Lessons learnt





Leading Associations



2 Legal Framework

- **3** Dimension & Structure
- **4** Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

7 Lessons learnt

- Federal Association of Energy and Water (Bundesverband der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft)
- German Association for Gas and Water for the Drinking Water sector (Deutscher Verein des Gas- und Wasserfaches)



bdew

Energie. Wasser. Leben.

German Association for Water, Wastewater and Waste (Deutsche Vereinigung für Wasserwirtschaft, Abwasser und Abfall)



Agenda

The German Water Sector...

- **1)** General Characteristics
- 2) Legal Framework
- 3) Dimension and Structure
- 4) Competition
- 5) The Role of Benchmarking
- 6) Economic Challenges
- 7) Lessons learnt





Water Production and Consumption

General Characteristics In 2011 around 4,968 million m³ water was produced (61.1 % ground water, 30.5 % surface water and only 8.4 % spring water)

2 Legal Framework In comparison to 1990 the amount of the total treated water indicates a decrease of around 1,800 million m³ or 27 %

3 Dimension & Structure

Besides the challenge of a declining population, the water consumption per capita of the remaining population is also decreasing:



Lessons learnt

Source: BDEW Water Statistics, related to households and small trades, p = provisional

Sources: BDEW (2013): 2, BDEW et al. (2011): 39



Net Works

General Characteristic

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges Water Supply Sector

- High connection rate with around 99 % of the German population
- Approximately 530,000 km long net work
- Extremely high reliability of water supply
- Average water losses amount only to approximately 6,5 %
- Benchmarking projects in different German states identify varying renewal rates from 0.4 to 1.2 %

Sewage Sector

- 95 % of the population is connected to wastewater treatment plants in accordance with highest technical EU standards
- Estimated net work length: wastewater (187,264 km), storm water (114,373 km), combined water system (239,086 km)
- Considering the age structure of the German sewer net work, it is striking that approximately 70 % of the pipes are younger than 50 years. Nevertheless, parts of the main system are much older

Lessons learnt

Sources: BDEW et al. (2011): 34, 48, 52ff., 68



Drinking Water Utilities

The Figure confirms the

assumption that private

parties primarily operate

in densely populated areas

General Characteristics

The drinking water supply in Germany is organised by 6,211 companies under private or public organisational models

100%

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

Lessons learnt

Under consideration of the water output, mixed public-private companies dominate the market with 26 %, followed by special purpose associations (17 %) and other private-law utilities (16 %)





1993

 special purpose associations
 owner-operated municipal utilities
 institution under public law
 other private-law utilities
 mixed public-private companies AG/ GmbH (plc, limited liability company)
 ancillary municipal utilities
 autonomous companies AG/GmbH (plc, limited liability company)
 public-law companies AG/GmbH (plc, limited liability company)

2008

Source: BDEW et al. (2011): 34f.

water and soil associations





Source: BDEW et al. (2011): 36



Wastewater Utilities

General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

- In contrary to the drinking water sector almost every wastewater utility acts under public law
 - Most common organisational form is the owner-operated municipal utility (37 %), followed by different intermunicipal associations (28 %) and institutions under public law (13 %)
 - The size structure of the German wastewater market is similar to the one in the drinking water sector

Although the market can be described as fragmentized, a few large providers take care of the wastewater services in metropolitan areas

6 Economic Challenges



Source: BDEW et al. (2011): 36ff.



Agenda

The German Water Sector...

- **1)** General Characteristics
- 2) Legal Framework
- **3)** Dimension and Structure
- 4) Competition
- 5) The Role of Benchmarking
- 6) Economic Challenges
- 7) Lessons learnt





Level of Competition

General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

C Lessons learnt

- Legal framework fosters the utilisation of local water resources, thus, favouring a fragmented water supply
- Lack of competition in the market: second pipe system and common carriage no adequate alternative
- Municipalities' opportunity to tender the water services or parts of it can help to force more competition for the market
 - In Germany, concessions are the dominating model for the involvement of third private parties → concession contracts affect typically the task fulfilment and not the task responsibility
- In addition to concessions, operator models, management models and cooperation models are applied in the German water and sewage sector

Source: Dierkes/Hamann (2009): 17, 143ff., Mankel (2002): 42f., European Commission (1999)



Limitations

General Characteristics This process creates a kind of limited competition for the market:

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

Z Lessons learnt

Concession contracts are typically long-term, so that this form of competition is mainly restricted to the time of the tender

Further, the advantages of a competitive concession bet can be missed, if the number of bidders is too low or (in the worst case) if there is no other competitor

Recent EU directive on awarding of concessions (2014) has excluded the water and sanitation business as "often subject to specific and complex arrangements ... given the importance of water as a public good of fundamental value ..."



Source: Garcia et al. (2005): 173, 180.



Agenda

The German Water Sector...

- **1)** General Characteristics
- 2) Legal Framework
- **3)** Dimension and Structure
- 4) Competition
- 5) The Role of Benchmarking
- 6) Economic Challenges
- 7) Lessons learnt





Benchmarking

General Characteristics

- 2 Legal Framework
- **3** Dimension & Structure
- **4** Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

Lessons learnt

- Creating a competition via comparison is of particular interest in the German water market
- Different benchmarking projects, efficiency analysis as well as price comparisons are omnipresent
- In most German states (Bundesländer) water utilities have an opportunity to participate in regional, non-obligatory projects (metric as well as process benchmarking)
- 11 out of 16 states also provide a public report with general performance respectively efficiency results, which is typically anonymous
- Generally, the benchmarking projects are carried out periodically → performance changes over several years
- Basis: IWA standard for performance indicators

 To enable sooner or later a nationwide benchmarking, a unification of these indicators is discussed
 Source: BDEW (2012), Otillinger (2011): 26, Alegre et al. (2000), Hirner/Merkel (2005)



Regional Benchmarking - Participation

General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

Lessons learnt

Regional benchmarking: participation rate of water utilities (measured by drinking water provided)

Even if benchmarks are non-obligatory in Germany, many utilities make use of this opportunity

However, the participation rates are obviously far from satisfactory, especially when the number of participating utilities is considered



URG

IINIVERSITÄT



Agenda

The German Water Sector...

- **1)** General Characteristics
- 2) Legal Framework
- **3)** Dimension and Structure
- 4) Competition
- 5) The Role of Benchmarking
- 6) Economic Challenges
- 7) Lessons learnt





Investments – Drinking Water

General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges Main target is to make continuous investments to avoid unplanned high expenditures and related price increases

The investments of the water supply and wastewater removal industry amounted to approximately 110 billion Euros since the German reunification in 1990

Development of capital expenditure in public water supply from 1990 to 2010 (according to asset areas, in billion Euros):



Lessons learnt

 other capital expenditure = meters and measuring devices, and capital expenditure which cannot be broken down into asset areas.

Source: BDEW Water Statistics

Source: BDEW et al. (2011): 76



Investments – Wastewater



Development of capital expenditure in public wastewater supply from 1998 to 2010 (in billion Euros):



5 Role of Benchmarking

Source: BDEW/DWA/Deutscher Städtetag – wastewater survey, p = provisional

6 Economic Challenges

essons learnt

- Development in the wastewater sector is characterized by a less homogeneous trend
- Capital expenditures in the wastewater sector are more than twice as large as those in the water supply sector

Source: BDEW et al. (2011): 77.



Water Pricing – Control Institutions

General Characteristics Subsidies play a minor or almost no role in the German water supply - more important issue is a cost-covering price structure

2 Legal Framework

 Depending on the company's legal form, water pricing is subject to different frameworks/control institutions:



Source: BDEW et al. (2011): 24,



Water Pricing – Calculation Requirements

General Characteristics

- 2 Legal
- **3** Dimension & Structure
- **4** Competition

- Requirements for charges of companies under public law
 → Municipal Charges Acts of the different states
- Water prices of companies under private law → not subject to specific regulations, but...

"[...] according to the rulings of the German Federal Supreme Court, the principles applied to the calculation of charges are to be applied in the same way to the calculation of prices." (BDEW et al. (2011): 13)

5 Role of Benchmarking

Economic

Challenges

essons learnt

^{ng} Main obligations and principles are:

- Principle of equivalence (proportionality)
- Principle of cost recovery
- Prohibition of cost overrun
- Principle of equality or equal treatment
- Economic principles







Main Challenges - Water Pricing





Main Challenges – Tariff Design



Effects of decreasing water deliveries on total and specific costs (relative evolution over time)



Source: VKU-expert's report Holländer et al., 2009

6 Economic Challenges → specific costs significantly increase with declining water consumption



currently hot debate on adjustments of tariff designs

Source: BDEW et al. (2011): 43, e.g. Oelmann/Haneke (2008)



Main Challenges – Prices

- Water prices strongly differ over the country
 - raises questions about price fairness among the population
- 2 Legal Framework
- (non-coordinated) intervention of cartel authorities create uncertainties among the utilities
- 3 Dimension 8 Structure
- **4** Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

Issue on legally accepted tariff respectively price calculation still remains not clearly resolved, in spite of current initiatives from the water associations for a price calculation guideline

6 Economic Challenges

 However, prices are generally affordable and the water costs do not play a decisive role in total household budget

7 Lessons learnt

Source: see Hirschhausen et al. (2010): 76), Reif (2002a): 19; BDEW (2010); BDEW/VKU (2012)



Agenda

The German Water Sector...

- **1)** General Characteristics
- 2) Legal Framework
- **3)** Dimension and Structure
- 4) Competition
- 5) The Role of Benchmarking
- 6) Economic Challenges
- 7) Lessons learnt





Lessons learnt l

General Characteristics

- 2 Legal Framework
- **3** Dimension & Structure
- **4** Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

- The high level of fragmentation could potentially hinder economies of scale & size and thereby foster inefficiencies
- However, the systems are in good condition and the water quality and reliability of service is very high, which should be the overall target
- Quality regulation of both water and wastewater services is strict, well established and uniform throughout the country
- Competition for the market is made possible and is applied (no significant role in Germany)
 - Benchmarking projects are on the right track, but the participation rate should be expanded
 - > Moreover, national/trans-national efforts to be fostered

7 Lessons learnt

Source: Boschek (2002), Bundesministerium für Gesundheit (2011) and conclusions from this presentation



Lessons learnt II

General Characteristics

2 Legal Framework

3 Dimension & Structure

4 Competition

5 Role of Benchmarking

6 Economic Challenges

Lessons learnt

The German water sector model encourages self-responsible acting and sets incentives for high-quality water services.

Water prices getting more and more in focus of public debates and within the water sector → greater clarity and transparency should be aimed at, since

they are important for both customers and utilities
 → increasingly, cost efficiency becomes a dominant issue

- Economic regulation is established in decentralised approaches, so that uniform efficiency standards do not exist, fulfilment is not transparent
 - for public utilities by the federal states
 - for private utilities by municipalities, controlled by cartel authorities of the federal states
- The German water sector is continuously balancing central regulation and decentralised self-organisation



Good water services without a regulator?

Technical/organisational dimension

- **1.** Optimum (self-)regulatory power where it is most needed
- **2.** Implementation is enforced
 - by national laws
 - by regular governmental inspections

Economic dimension

3. Legal standards on adequate tariffing

- ex-ante approval of charges is mandatory
- price setting is widely to be approved by municipal bodies
- 4. Economic supervision is installed
 - ex-post charge/price control (upon request/suspicion)



Conclusions

1	General Characteristics	•	Long-term tradition of self-governance seems to be a prerequisite for the proper functioning of the non-regulated water sector
2	Legal Framework	•	The German self-organised water market model works well under the specific German conditions and it provides sufficient
3	Dimension & Structure		adaptive power to work under future challenges
4	Competition		Could the German model be transferred to other countries?
5	Role of Benchmarking		 → Yes: Examples from NL, Scandinavian countries, Austria, CH etc. show similar structures with good performance → However: Specific national background and tradition have to
6	Economic Challenges		be taken into account. The freedom of self-responsible sector management and central regulation should be balanced continuously
7	Lessons learnt		

To

0



0



Thank you for your attention !

Wolf Merkel w.merkel@iww-online.de IWW Water Centre, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany



References I

- Abwasserverordnung (1997): Verordnung über Anforderungen an das Einleiten von Abwasser in Gewässer (Abwasserverordnung AbwV) from 21st March 1997, last update 2nd May 2013 (BGBI. I S. 973).
- Alegre, Helena; et al. (2000): Performance Indicators for Water Supply Services, 1st edition, IWA publishing, London.
- BDEW Bundesverband der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft (2010): Eckpunkte einer Wasserentgeltkalkulation in der Wasserwirtschaft, URL from 3rd April 2014: <u>https://www.bdew.de/internet.nsf/res/1996251221D35BF5C12578A40044E677/\$file/100617_Eckpunktepapier_Praxisbeispiel_HP.pdf.</u>
- **BDEW Bundesverband der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft et al. (2011):** Profile of the German Water Sector 2011, URL from 23rd March 2014: <u>http://www.bdew.de/internet.nsf/id/9F6D4ED10B74BCAEC12579570033822C/\$file/111129_Profile_german_water_2011_long_.pdf</u>.
- BDEW Bundesverband der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft (2011): Wasserfakten im Überblick.
- BDEW Bundesverband der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft (2012): Benchmarking: "Learning from the best" Comparison of performance indicators in the German water sector, URL from 23rd March 2014: <u>http://www.bdew.de/internet.nsf/id/86735E87EB0C1177C12579EB004E1B9F/\$file/120301_BDEW_Wasser_Benchmarking_Broschuere_engl.pdf.</u>
- BDEW Bundesverband der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft; VKU Verband kommunaler Unternehmen (2012): Leitfaden zur Wasserpreiskalkulation Gutachten Kalkulation von Trinkwasserpreisen.
- BDEW Bundesverband der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft (2013): Wasserfakten im Überblick, URL from 23rd March 2014: <u>http://www.bdew.de/internet.nsf/id/C125783000558C9FC125766C0003CBAF/\$file/Wasserfakten%20-%20%C3%96ffentlicher%20Bereich%20August%202013.pdf</u>.
- Boscheck, Ralf (2002): European Water Infrastructures: Regulatory Flux void of Reference? The Cases of Germany, France, and Engalnd and Wales, in: Intereconomics, Vol.37, Issue 3, pp. 138 149.
- Bundesministerium für Gesundheit (2011): Bericht über die Qualität von Wasser für den menschlichen Gebrauch (Trinkwasser) in Deutschland, Berlin.
- Deutscher Wetterdienst (2014a): German Climate Atlas Air Temperature, URL from 23rd March 2014: <u>http://www.dwd.de/bvbw/appmanager/bvbw/dwdwwwDesktop?_nfpb=true&_windowLabel=dwdwww_main_book&T179400190621308654542636gs</u> <u>bDocumentPath=&switchLang=en&_pageLabel=P28800190621308654463391</u>.
- Deutscher Wetterdienst (2014b): German Climate Atlas Precipitation, URL from 23rd March 2014: <u>http://www.dwd.de/bvbw/appmanager/bvbw/dwdwwwDesktop?_nfpb=true&_windowLabel=dwdwww_main_book&T179400190621308654542636gs</u> <u>bDocumentPath=&switchLang=en&_pageLabel=P28800190621308654463391</u>.





References II

- **Dierkes, Mathias; Hamann, Rolf (2009):** Öffentliches Preisrecht in der Wasserwirtschaft, Nomos Verlag, Baden-Baden.
- DVGW Deutscher Verein des Gas- und Wasserfaches e.V. (2009): Climate Change and Water Supply, URL from 23rd March 2014: <u>http://www.dvgw.de/fileadmin/dvgw/wasser/ressourcen/info_climatechange_en_100525.pdf</u>.
- **European Commission (1999):** European Economy Liberalisation of Network Industries, in: Reports and Studies, No.4.
- **Ewers, Hans-Jürgen; et al. (2001):** Optionen, Chancen und Rahmenbedingungen einer Marktöffnung für eine nachhaltige Wasserversorgung, BMWi-Forschungsvorhaben 11/00, Endbericht Juli 2001.
- Garcia, S.; Guérin-Schneider, L.; Fauquert, G. (2005): Analysis of water price determinants in France: cost recovery, competition for the market and opertor's strategy, in: Water Science and Technology: Water Supply, Vol. 5, Iss.6, pp. 173-181.
- GG Grundgesetz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland (1949): Grundgesetz from 23rd May 1949 (BGBI. S.1), last update of 29th July 2009 (BGBI. I S.2248).
- Hein, Andreas; Merkel, Wolf (2010): Process Benchmarking in Drinking Waterworks in Germany, in: gwf-Wasser Abwasser, Vol. 151, International Issue S1/2010, pp. 64 -70.
- **Hirner, Wolfram; Merkel, Wolf (2005):** Kennzahlen für Benchmarking in der Wasserversorgung. wvgw-Verlag Bonn.
- Hirschhausen, Christian von; et al. (2010): Wasser: Ökonomie und Management einer Schlüsselressource, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung, Berlin..
- Hoffjan, Andreas; Müller, Nicole Annett (2011): Contemporary Market Structure and Regulatory Framework, report within the EU Research Project TRUST, URL from 26th March 2014: <u>http://www.trust-i.net/downloads/index.php?iddesc=34.</u>
- Hoffjan, Andreas; Müller, Nicole Annett, Reksten, Håkon (2014): Enhancing Competition and Efficiency in the Urban Water Industry, internal report within the EU Research Project TRUST
- Lotze, Andreas; Reinhardt, Michael (2009): Die kartellrechtliche Missbrauchskontrolle bei Wasserpreisen, in: Neue Juristische Wochenzeitschrift, Vol.62, No.45, pp.3273 – 3278.
- Mankel, Bettina (2002): Wasserversorgung: Marktöffnungsoptionen umfassend nutzen, Wirtschaftsdienst, Vol.82, Iss.1, pp. 40-43.
- Merkel, Wolfgang (2009): Wasser- und Abwasserwirtschaft: Sicherheit und Qualität bestimmen den Preis, nicht umgekehrt, Tagungsbericht von der Handelsblatt-Jahrestagung 2008, in: gwf Wasser | Abwasser, Vol. 150 (2009), No. 1, pp.72 –79.



References III

- Oelmann, Mark; Haneke, Carsten (2008): Herausforderung demographischer Wandel: Tarifmodelle als Instrument der Nachfragestabilisierung in der Wasserversorgung, in: Netzwirtschaften & Recht, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 188 194.
- Otillinger, Franz (2011): Benchmarking was hat es bislang bewirkt und wie geht es weiter? In: energie | wasser praxis, Vol.62, Iss.5, pp. 24-26.
- Petry, Daniel; Castell-Exner, Claudia (2012): Current issues and challenges addressing the German drinking water sector, in: bluefacts International Journal of Water Management, Vol. 3, pp. 16-20.
- **Reif, Thomas (2002a):** Preiskalkulation für eine moderne Wasserwirtschaft, in: energie | wasser praxis, Vol.53, Iss.12, pp. 14-19.
- Reif, Thomas (2002b): Preiskalkulation privater Wasserversorgungsunternehmen, Wirtschafts- und Verlagsgesellschaft Gas und Wasser mbH, Bonn.
- Statistische Ämter des Bundes und der Länder (2011): Demografischer Wandel in Deutschland.
- Statistisches Bundesamt (2011): Statistisches Jahrbuch 2011 Bundesrepublik Deutschland.
- Theuretzbacher-Fritz, H.; Schielein, J.; Kiesl, H.; Kölbl, J.; Neunteufel, R.; Perfler, R. (2005): Trans-national water supply benchmarking: the cross-border co-operation of the Bavarian EFFWB project and the Austrian OVGW project, in: Water Science and Technology: Water Supply, Vol.5, No.6, pp. 273 280.
- Trinkwasserverordnung (2001): Trinkwasserverordnung from 21st May 2001 (BGBI. I S.959), last update 14th Dezember 2012, published 2nd August 213 (BGBI. I S. 2977ff.).
- Umweltbundesamt (1998): Vergleich der Trinkwasserpreise im europäischen Rahmen, Ecologic Gesellschaft für Internationale und Europäische Umweltforschung, Berlin.
- **Umweltbundesamt (2010):** Water Resource Management in Germany Part 1 Fundamentals.
- VKU Verband kommunaler Unternehmen (2011): Fragen und Antworten Wasserpreise und Gebühren, URL from 23rd March 2014: <u>http://www.vku.de/service-navigation/presse/publikationen/fragen-und-antworten-wasserpreise-und-gebuehren.html</u>.
- WHG Wasserhaushaltsgesetz (2009): Gesetz zur Ordnung des Wasserhaushalts from 31st Juli 2009 (BGBI. I S.2585) last update 7th August 2013 (BGBI. I S. 3154).

